| 1 | ROBERT T. HASLAM (S.B. #71134) | | |
|----|---|---|----|
| 2 | rhaslam@cov.com | | |
| 3 | EMILY JOHNSON HENN (S.B. #269482) ehenn@cov.com | | |
| | COVINGTON & BURLING LLP | | |
| 4 | 333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (650) 632-4700 | | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 | | |
| 7 | DEBORAH A. GARZA (pro hac vice) | | |
| 8 | dgarza@cov.com | | |
| | JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) jherczeg@cov.com | | |
| 9 | COVINGTON & BURLING LLP | | |
| 10 | 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW | | |
| 11 | Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 | | |
| 12 | Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 | | |
| 13 | Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 13 | LINITED STATE | ES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 16 | | DISTRICT COOKT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 17 | SAN JOS | SE DIVISION | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE | Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LF | ΗK |
| | ANTITRUST LITIGATION | | |
| 20 | THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: | DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWE TO PLAINTIFFS' | ER |
| 21 | ALL ACTIONS | CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | _J | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' | | |
| | CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK | | |

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Defendant Pixar answers Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint

("Complaint") and provides affirmative defenses to the allegations in the Complaint as follows.

Unless specifically admitted, Pixar denies all allegations and claims contained in the Complaint.

Pixar's answer is limited to the allegations of the Complaint concerning Pixar and its conduct. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations relating to the acts, conduct, intent or knowledge of others.

ANSWER

Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring this action as stated in the preamble to the Complaint.

I. SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

- 1. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to allege a conspiracy as described in Paragraph 1.
- To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 1.
- 2. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 2. Pixar
- 14 admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring this action pursuant to the laws cited in the third sentence
 - of Paragraph 2, to the extent those claims for relief have not already been dismissed. To the
 - extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the third sentence of
- 17 Paragraph 2. Pixar denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that
 - Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.
 - 3. Pixar admits that the Department of Justice ("DOJ") conducted an investigation into
- 20 Pixar's recruiting practices beginning in approximately 2009. Pixar denies that it violated any
 - law or other regulation. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set
 - forth in Paragraph 3.
 - 4. Pixar lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations set forth in the first
- sentence of Paragraph 4. Pixar otherwise denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to bring this action pursuant to the laws cited in Paragraph 4, to the extent those claims for relief have not already been dismissed. To the extent

| 1 | not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5, denies that it has | | | |
|----|--|--|------------|--|
| 2 | violated any law or other regulation and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to relief. | | | |
| 3 | 6. Paragraph 6 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required. | | | |
| 4 | 7. Paragraph 7 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required. | | | |
| 5 | 8. Paragraph 8 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required. | | | |
| 6 | | III. Choice | 0 | <u>f Law</u> |
| 7 | 9. | Paragraph 9 contains a conclusion of law to | 7 (| which no response is required. To the extent |
| 8 | a resp | ponse is required, Pixar denies the allegations | S€ | et forth in Paragraph 9. |
| 9 | 10. | Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Par | ag | graph 10. |
| 10 | 11. | Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Par | ag | graph 11. |
| 11 | 12. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 12 and denies that Plaintiffs' claims | | | |
| 12 | are the proper subject of class certification. | | | |
| 13 | 13. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13. | | | |
| 14 | 14. | Paragraph 14 contains a conclusion of law | to | which no response is required. To the |
| 15 | extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 14. | | | |
| 16 | 15. Paragraph 15 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required. To the | | | |
| 17 | extent a response is required, Pixar lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations | | | |
| 18 | set forth in Paragraph 15. | | | |
| 19 | | IV. THE PA | 1 I | RTIES |
| 20 | A. | <u>Plaintiffs</u> | | |
| 21 | 16. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity | | | |
| 22 | of the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 16. Pixar denies the | | | |
| 23 | allegations set forth in the third sentence of Paragraph 16. | | | |
| 24 | 17. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity | | | |
| 25 | of the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 17. Pixar denies the | | | |
| 26 | allega | gations set forth in the third sentence of Paragr | ap | oh 17. |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | CONSO | NDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' OLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK | | |

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

28

- 18. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 18. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the third sentence of Paragraph 18.
- 19. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 19. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the third sentence of Paragraph 19.
- 20. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 20. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the third sentence of Paragraph 20.

B. **Defendants**

- Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity 21. of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 21.
- 22. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 22.
- 23. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 23.
- 24. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 24.
- 25. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 25.
- 26. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 26.
- 27. Pixar admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 27.
- 28. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 28 to the extent they relate to Pixar.
- 25 To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 28 relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies
 - knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations
- set forth in Paragraph 28.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations

set forth in Paragraph 29.

V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 30. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to represent a putative class as Plaintiffs have defined it in Paragraph 30. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 30 and denies that Plaintiffs' claims are the proper subject of class certification.
- 31. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 31.
 - 32. Paragraph 32 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 32.
- 33. Paragraph 33 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 33.
 - 34. Paragraph 34 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 34.
 - 35. Paragraph 35 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 35.
- 36. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 36.
 - 37. Paragraph 37 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 37.
 - 38. Paragraph 38 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 38.

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- To the extent sub-headings of Part VI of Plaintiffs' Complaint make any allegations that require a response, Pixar denies them.
- 39. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 39.
- 40. Paragraph 40 contains conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 40.

67

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

20

19

2122

2324

25

26

28

- 41. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 41. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs have defined "cold-calling" to include "communicating directly in any manner (including orally, in writing, telephonically, or electronically) with another firm's employee who has not otherwise applied for a job opening." To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 41.
- 42. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 42.
- 43. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 43.
- 44. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 44.
- 45. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 45.
- 46. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 46. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in the third and fourth sentences of Paragraph 46.
- 47. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 47.
- 48. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 48.
- 49. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 49.
- 50. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 50.
- 51. To the extent the allegations in paragraph 51 relate to Pixar, Pixar admits that it monitors and manages compensation levels to achieve its legitimate business objectives. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 51 relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 51. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 51.
- 52. To the extent the allegations set for with paragraph 52 relate to Pixar, Pixar admits that it establishes salary ranges for categories of employees and that it updates salary ranges from time to time. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 52 relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 52. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 52.

- 53. Pixar admits that from time to time it engages in negotiations regarding compensation levels with individual employees. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 53.
- 54. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 54.
- 55. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 55.
- 56. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 56.
- 57. Pixar admits the allegations set forth in Paragraph 57.
 - 58. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 58.
 - 59. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 59. Pixar admits that it had an understanding with Lucasfilm that the companies' recruiters would not cold call employees of the other company. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 59.
 - 60. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 60. Pixar admits that Pixar and Lucasfilm had an understanding to notify each other after making an offer to an employee of the other company.
 - 61. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the first two sentences of Paragraph 61. Pixar admits that Pixar and Lucasfilm had an understanding that if Pixar extended an offer of employment to a current employee of Lucasfilm, Pixar would not counter-offer if that candidate received a different offer from Lucasfilm.
 - 62. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 62. Pixar admits that its Vice President of Human Resources prepared a document that she believed reflected an understanding between Pixar and Lucasfilm, and which she circulated to certain Pixar personnel. Pixar admits that its Vice President of Human Resources later sent this document to two senior managers at Lucasfilm. To the extent they relate to Lucasfilm, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in the third sentence of Paragraph 62. Unless expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 62.
 - 63. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 63.

65.

64. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 64.

allegations set forth in Paragraph 65.

3

understanding with Lucasfilm. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the

4

5

66. Pixar admits that its Vice President of Human Resources prepared a document that she

Pixar admits that its personnel communicated with Lucasfilm personnel regarding an

6

believed reflected an understanding between Pixar and Lucasfilm in Emeryville, California. To

7

the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 66.

8

9

67. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 67. Pixar admits that it informed employees in Emeryville, California about an understanding with Lucasfilm.

10

To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 67.

11

12

68. Pixar admits that, there were occasions on which it notified Lucasfilm that it had made an offer of employment to a Lucasfilm employee. To the extent not expressly admitted, Pixar

13

14

69. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 69.

denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 68.

15

70. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 70.

16

71. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 71.

1718

72. To the extent Paragraph 72 makes any allegations with respect to Pixar, Pixar denies them. To the extent they relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information

19

sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 72.

20

73. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 73.

2122

74. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 74.

23

24

75. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 75.

25

26

76. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 76.

Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 79 relate to Pixar, Pixar denies them.

Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 82 relate to Pixar, Pixar denies them.

Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity

To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 85 relate to Pixar, Pixar denies them.

Pixar admits that Steven P. Jobs continued his direct participation in Pixar's operations

To the extent they relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient

and had a significant role in the oversight of Pixar after the Walt Disney Company acquired

Pixar in May 2006. Pixar admits the allegations of the second and third sentences set forth in

Paragraph 87. Pixar further admits that beginning in 2006, Mr. Jobs sat on the Board of

Directors of The Walt Disney Company and served on a Steering Committee that oversaw

To the extent they relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient

to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 82.

to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 82.

Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 86.

To the extent they relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient

to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 79.

77.

78.

79.

80.

81.

82.

83.

84.

85.

86.

87.

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 77.

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 78.

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 80.

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 81.

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 83.

of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 84.

- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 28

DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS

- 88. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 88.
- 4 89. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 89.
- 5 | 90. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 90 to the extent they relate to Pixar.
- To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 90 relate only to Apple, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth or falsity.
- 8 91. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 91 to the extent they relate to Pixar.
- 9 To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 90 relate only to Apple, Pixar denies
- 10 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth or falsity.
- 92. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 92.
 - 93. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 93.
 - 94. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 94.
 - 95. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 95.
 - 96. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 96.
 - 97. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 97.
- 23 | 98. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 98 to the extent they relate to Pixar.
- To the extent they relate to other Defendants, Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 98.
 - 99. Pixar denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in Paragraph 99.

26

27

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' | 11 | |
|---|----|--|
| CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT | 11 | |
| Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK | | |
| | L | |

Department of Justice filed against Pixar and other defendants a Complaint, Stipulated Final

Judgment and other papers in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and

27

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1)

- 119. To the extent sub-heading "Violations of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1" makes any allegations that require a response, Pixar denies them. Pixar incorporates by reference each of its preceding responses in answer to Paragraph 119.
- 120. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 120.

23

24

25

26

27

| - 1 | | | |
|-----|---|----|--|
| | DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' | 12 | |
| | CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT | 12 | |
| | Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK | | |
| | | | |

Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 121.

1

121.

2 122. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 122. 3 123. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 123. 4 124. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 124. 5 125. Paragraph 125 is a conclusion of law that does not require a response. To the extent a 6 response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 125. 7 126. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 126. To the 8 extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 26 and denies 9 that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 10 11 (Violations of the Cartwright Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720, et seq.) 12 127. To the extent sub-heading "Violations of the Cartwright Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 13 16720, et seq." makes any allegations that require a response, Pixar denies them. Pixar 14 incorporates by reference each of its preceding responses in answer to Paragraph 127. 15 128. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 128. 16 129. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 129. 17 130. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 130. 18 131. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 131. 19 132. Paragraph 132 is a conclusion of law that does not require a response. To the extent a 20 response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 132. 21 Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 133. 133. 22 134. Paragraph 134 is a conclusion of law that does not require a response. To the extent a 23 response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 134. 24 Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 135. To the 25 extent not expressly admitted, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 135, denies that 26 it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the 27 relief sought. 28 DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS 13 CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

1213

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 16660)

136-143. To the extent sub-heading "Violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 16660" makes any allegations that require a response, Pixar denies them. Plaintiffs have dismissed their claims under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 16600 (Dkt. No. 111). Accordingly, no response is required to Paragraphs 136-143. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 136-143.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition in Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.)

To the extent sub-heading "Unfair Competition in Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq." makes any allegations that require a response, Pixar denies them. The Court granted Defendants' joint motion to dismiss Plaintiffs claims under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.* (Dkt. No. 119). Accordingly, no response is required to Paragraphs 144-152. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 144-152.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In response to the "WHEREFORE" clause following the section entitled "Prayer for Relief," Pixar denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief sought.

- 153. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek a judgment as set forth in Paragraph 153.
- Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 153 and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief sought.
- 154. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek a judgment as set forth in Paragraph 154.
- Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 154, denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief sought.
- 155. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek a judgment as set forth in Paragraph 155.
- Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 155, denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any part of the judgment sought.

DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

156. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 156. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 156, denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.

- 157. Plaintiffs have dismissed their claims under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 16600 (Dkt. No.
- 111). Accordingly, no response is required to Paragraph 157. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 157, denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief sought.
- 158. The Court granted Defendants' joint motion to dismiss Plaintiffs claims under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.* (Dkt. No. 119). Accordingly, no response is required to Paragraph 158. To the extent a response is required, Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 158, denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief sought.
- 159. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 159. Pixar denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 159, denies that it has violated any law or other regulation, and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.
- 160. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 160. Pixar denies that it has violated any law or other regulation and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.
- 161. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 161. Pixar denies that it has violated any law or other regulation and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.
- 162. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 162. Pixar denies that it has violated any law or other regulation and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.
- 163. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 163. Pixar denies that it has violated any law or other regulation and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.

164. Pixar admits that Plaintiffs purport to seek relief as set forth in Paragraph 164. Pixar denies that it has violated any law or other regulation and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pixar asserts the following affirmative defenses to Plaintiffs' alleged causes of action.

Insofar as any of the following expresses denial of an element of any claim alleged against Pixar or the other Defendants in this action, such expression does not indicate that Plaintiffs are relieved of their burden to prove each and every element of any such claim.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The claims in Plaintiffs' Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver, release, estoppel, laches, and/or unclean hands.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs and putative class members lack standing to maintain their claims.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims and those of putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, because neither Plaintiffs nor the putative class members have suffered antitrust injury.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs cannot maintain this case as a class action because they fail to satisfy the prerequisites set forth in Rules 23(a), (b)(2) and/or (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims and those of putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' alleged injuries were not proximately caused by any act or omission related to Pixar.

| DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' | 16 | |
|---|----|--|
| CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT | 10 | |
| Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK | | |

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 Plaintiffs' alleged damages are speculative. 3 **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 4 Plaintiffs have failed to mitigate their damages. 5 TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 Plaintiffs' claims and those of putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, 7 because Plaintiffs received a benefit from Defendants' alleged conduct. 8 **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 9 Plaintiffs' claims and those of putative class members are barred, in whole or in part, 10 because the claims are subject to arbitration. 11 TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 12 Pixar hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon any other defense that may become 13 available or appear during the discovery proceedings in this case and hereby reserves its rights 14 to amend its Answer to assert any such defenses. 15 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 16 WHEREFORE, having stated its answer and affirmative defenses, Defendant 17 Pixar prays for relief as follows: 18 1. That this lawsuit be dismissed with prejudice; 19 2. That no class action be permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and no class certified under Fed. 20 R. Civ. P. 23(c); 21 3. For attorneys' fees and costs as permitted by law; and 22 4. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper. 23 24 25 26 27 28 DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS 17

CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

| Dated: May 21, 2012 Semily Johnson Hem ROBERT T. HASLAM (S.B. #71134) Haslam@cov.com EMILY JOHNSON HENN (S.B. #269482) ehenn@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 632-4700 Facsimile: (650) 632-4700 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 | 1 | | Respectfully submitted, |
|--|----|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Dated: May 21, 2012 S. Emily Johnson Henn ROBERT T. HASLAM (S.B. #71134) Haslam@cov.com EMILY JOHNSON HENN (S.B. #269482) ehenn@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 632-4800 | 2 | | |
| ## Praslam@cov.com ## EMILY JOHNSON HENN (S.B. #269482) ## EMILY JOHNSON HENN ## EMILY JOHNSON HEND ## EMILY JOHNSON HENN ## EMILY JOHNSON HEND ## EMILY JOHNSON HENN ## EMILY JOHNSON HEND ## EMILY JOHN | | Dated: May 21, 2012 | |
| EMILY JOHNSON HENN (S.B. #269482) ehenn@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 632-4700 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 DEBORAH A. GARZA (pro hac vice) dgarza@cov.com JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) jherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6091 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR | | | |
| COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 632-4700 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 DEBORAH A. GARZA (pro hac vice) dgarza@cov.com JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) ipherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 632-4700 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 DEBORAH A. GARZA (pro hac vice) dgarza@cov.com JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) ipherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR | 5 | | |
| Telephone: (650) 632-4700 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 DEBORAH A. GARZA (pro hac vice) dgarza@cov.com JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) ipherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR | 6 | | |
| B Facsimile: (650) 632-4800 DEBORAH A. GARZA (pro hac vice) dgarza@cov.com JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) jherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 12 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 7 | | |
| dgarza@cov.com JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) jherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 8 | | |
| JONATHAN HERCZEG (pro hac vice) jherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 9 | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| jherczeg@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 10 | | |
| 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | | | jherczeg@cov.com |
| Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | | | |
| Facsimile: (202) 662-6291 Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 12 | | Washington, DC 20004 |
| Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 13 | | |
| PIXAR PIXAR PIXAR PIXAR | 14 | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 15 | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 16 | | |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 17 | | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 18 | | |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 19 | | |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 20 | | |
| 23 24 25 26 27 | 21 | | |
| 24 25 26 27 | 22 | | |
| 252627 | 23 | | |
| 252627 | 24 | | |
| 2627 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 11 | 28 | | |

DEFENDANT PIXAR'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK